# **Discrete Mathematical Modeling of Powder Bed 3D Printing Process**

Travis Black, Alexei Cheviakov, Christopher Duffy

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Saskatchewan



**Powder bed** printers are machines in which a heat source melts the 2D cross section of the object into the bed of powdered material. Then another layer of powder is swept over and sintered onto the previous layer.





Diagram of Powder Bed Printer; from https://www.materialise.com/en/blog/metal-3D-printing-recoaters

Complex object produced using 3D printing; from http://engatech.com/metal-3d-printing/

**3D** printing is a cutting edge technology with multiple applications:

- Cooling channels which conform to the contours of the object for improved thermal dissipation.
- Building parts with complex geometry which would be difficult and expensive to manufacture with traditional methods.
- ► The option to build and test prototypes during the

# The Powder Bed Model

### **Our Algorithm:**

- Every new sphere is randomly generated from chosen distribution.
- ▶ If two or more particles are in contact they can spawn a new sphere.
- ► The location of the new sphere is determined by solving a system of equations relating to the location and radii of the parent particles.





Close up of stainless steel type 316 powder. [2]

System of equations used to solve placement of new sphere.

 $e^{(-x/\lambda)^k}$ 

The distribution of powder particle size was determined to be a

Weibull distribution:  $\int f(x; \lambda, k) = \frac{k}{\lambda} \left( \frac{x}{\lambda} \right)$ 

x is the diameter of the particle, k = 3.55 is the shape





# **Building the Powder Bed**

Once the cube is filled with spheres the bed is created by exploiting the symmetry of the cube. By reflecting the cube about its face it is possible to determine the contacts between two joined cubes.





Cube contact

Two Unit Cubes

# Simulated Print of a Square





Initial state of the powder bed.

Particle bonded during print vith laser path superimposed



development phase.

### **Heat Source Model**

► Heat flux from laser:  $q_{i_{\text{laser}}} = Q \frac{r_i^3}{r_{\text{laser}}^3}$  where Q is the

total power of the laser,  $r_i$  is the radius of the particle,  $r_{\text{laser}}$ is the radius of the laser.

► Heat flux between two particles:  $| q_{ij} = k_t (T_j - T_i)$ where  $k_t$  is the heat transfer coefficient, and  $T_i, T_j$  are the temperatures of particle i and j.

**•** Total heat flux:  $q_i = q_{i_{\text{laser}}} + \sum_{j=1}^N q_{ij}$ 

- **Temperature update** for particle *i*:  $T_i^{t+\Delta t} = T_i^t + \frac{q_i^t}{m_i C_n} \Delta t \mid$  where  $T_i^t$  is the initial temperature,  $\overline{q_i^t}$  is the total initial energy flux,  $m_i$  is the mass, and  $C_p$  is the specific heat capacity of particle i.
- ▶ If particle *i* and *j* are in **contact** and both above the sintering temperture a **bond** is formed between them.



Diagram of heat source and bonding between particles. [1]

parameter,  $\lambda = 31.4 \ \mu m$  is the scale parameter, the average diameter is  $28.3 \ \mu m$  [2].

# **Packing of Random Spheres**



Diameter of particle  $\mu m$ The data from the cube is represented by the bar graph, the Weibull distribution pdf is the red curve.

Spheres filling faces of cube.

The distribution of spheres closely matches the probability density function from which the radii were randomly drawn.





First pass of the laser.

Final pass of the laser.

Bonds formed between particles.

### Conclusions

**Summary:** An algorithm was developed to fill a cube with spheres of random radii. Symmetry of the cube was used to build a simulated powder bed. A discrete model of the 3D printing process was developed to study the affect of varying printing parameters.

**Conclusions:** The packing of particles will affect the final object. The path the laser takes will affect the internal bonding of the object. Residual heat from previous laser passes will affect the building process and must be taken into consideration.

### References

John G Michopoulos, Athanasios P Iliopoulos, John C Steuben, Andrew J Birnbaum, and Samuel G Lambrakos. On the multiphysics modeling challenges for metal additive manufacturing processes. Additive Manufacturing, 22:784–799, 2018.

[2] Adriaan B Spierings and Gideon Levy. Comparison of density of stainless steel 316l parts produced with selective laser melting using different powder grades. In Proceedings of the Annual International Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium, pages 342–353. Austin, TX, 2009.

